



TUESDAY, OCT. 13, 2026, AT 5:30 AM

CONTACT:

Ashley Koning, Director
Office: 848-932-8940
akoning@rutgers.edu

All news releases are available at https://eagletonpoll.rutgers.edu/press_releases/. Follow the Rutgers-Eagleton Poll on [Facebook](#), [Bluesky](#), and [LinkedIn](#).

New Jerseyans Largely Support Voter ID and Proof of Citizenship, Though Majority Confident Votes Will Be Counted Accurately

Poll finds sharp partisan divides on the matter; Republicans are most supportive of the requirements and least confident that votes will be counted accurately

NEW BRUNSWICK, N.J. (Oct. 13, 2026) – Majorities of New Jersey residents support requiring photo identification to vote in person and documentary proof of citizenship to register, and are confident that votes will be counted accurately this November, according to the latest Rutgers-Eagleton Poll.

Opinion on each issue breaks sharply along partisan lines.

The Poll was fielded from Sept. 24 to 27, months after the state’s disclosure this past summer of about 6,600 noncitizen registrations through the Motor Vehicle Commission. It was also in the field before Gov. Mikie Sherrill’s Oct. 7 announcement of roughly 1,100 additional registration records requiring correction or review, along with new audits and procedural changes at the agency.

About 8 in 10 New Jerseyans support requiring a valid New Jersey driver’s license, state identification card, or United States passport to vote in person (63% “strongly support,” 19% “somewhat”), while 17% are opposed (9% “strongly,” 8% “somewhat”).

About 7 in 10 support requiring a document proving United States citizenship, such as a birth certificate or passport, in order to register to vote (51% “strongly,” 20% “somewhat”); 25% are opposed (14% “strongly,” 11% “somewhat”).

Slightly less than three-quarters of New Jerseyans are confident votes cast in person, either during early voting or on Election Day will be counted accurately (40% “very confident,” 34% “somewhat”); 22% are either “not too confident” (15%) or “not at all confident” (7%).

Election Confidence and Voter Requirements Rutgers-Eagleton Poll

Confidence drops by double digits when it comes to votes by mail: About 6 in 10 residents in this case are confident mail-in ballots will be counted accurately (29% “very,” 30% “somewhat”), while 38% are either “not too confident” (24%) or “not at all confident” (14%).

Regarding who will be casting a vote, 36% of residents are “very confident” that only people who are eligible to vote in New Jersey will be able to cast a ballot, 30% are “somewhat confident,” 20% are “not too confident,” and 11% are not confident at all.

“Majorities would both support these hypothetical voting requirements and are confident about how November’s election will be run, but the intensity behind those two views is not the same,” said [Ashley Koning](#), an assistant research professor and director of the [Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling](#) at Rutgers University-New Brunswick. “Strong support clears the halfway mark on both requirements. Not one of the confidence measures gets there, and those who are confident split almost evenly between ‘very’ and ‘somewhat.’ The support is settled. The confidence is not.”

Partisanship shapes the intensity of that support more than its direction. Ninety-five percent of Republicans “strongly support” a photo identification requirement, compared with 64% of independents and 42% of Democrats. Proof of citizenship follows the same slope: 85% of Republicans, 54% of independents and 27% of Democrats “strongly support” it. Democrats are the only group with a sizable share strongly opposed, at 27% on the citizenship requirement and 19% on photo identification.

The partisan gap on confidence is widest at the “very confident” end. On whether only eligible voters will cast ballots, 59% of Democrats are “very confident,” compared with 28% of independents and 12% of Republicans, while 28% of Republicans are “not at all confident.”

Mail ballots show the same shape: 47% of Democrats are “very confident” they will be counted accurately, against 24% of independents and 7% of Republicans, and 30% of Republicans are not at all confident.

In-person voting draws the most confidence from every group, with 58% “very confident” among Democrats (another 27% are “somewhat confident”), 33% among independents (39% “somewhat confident”) and 21% among Republicans (39% “somewhat confident”).

“Republicans are the most emphatic about tightening the rules and the least sure the system is working as it stands,” Koning said. “Ninety-five percent strongly support an identification requirement, and 12% are very confident that only eligible voters will cast ballots. Those two numbers are telling the same story from opposite ends.”

Support for voting requirements tracks closely with doubts about voting accuracy. Among residents who aren’t confident that only eligible voters will cast ballots, 91% support requiring proof of citizenship to register and 79% support it strongly. Among those who are confident, support falls to 63%, with 39% strongly supportive. There is a 28-point difference in support

between the confident and not confident groups.

“The registration problems of the past few months have given residents a concrete reason to wonder about the rolls, and that shows up directly in what they want done,” Koning said. “Residents who doubt the rolls are nearly unanimous about proof of citizenship. Residents who trust them still support it, just far less emphatically.”

Black residents are the most confident group, with 58% “very confident” that only eligible voters will cast ballots and 44% “very confident” that mail ballots will be counted accurately, compared with 33% and 28% of white residents, respectively, and 33% and 26% of Hispanic residents. Black residents are also the least likely to be strongly supportive of voter requirements, at 43% for photo identification and 31% for proof of citizenship, compared with 66% and 56% of white residents, respectively, and 67% and 55% of Hispanic residents.

Strong support for a photo identification requirement declines as education rises, from 69% among residents with a high school education or less to 52% among those who have done postgraduate work. Proof of citizenship follows the same slope, from 58% to 42%, respectively.

Confidence runs the other way: 48% of residents with graduate work are “very confident” in-person votes will be counted accurately, compared with 33% of those with a high school education or less, and 36% versus 24% on mail ballots.

Results are from a statewide poll of 1,004 adults contacted through the probability-based Rutgers-Eagleton/SSRS Garden State Panel from Sept. 24 to Sept. 27. The full sample has a margin of error of +/- 4.1 percentage points.

#

ABOUT THE EAGLETON CENTER FOR PUBLIC INTEREST POLLING

Home of the Rutgers-Eagleton Poll, the Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling (ECPIP) was established in 1971 and is the oldest and one of the most respected university-based statewide polling operations in the United States. Now in its 55th year and with the publication of over 200 polls, ECPIP’s mission is to provide scientifically sound, nonpartisan information about public opinion. To read more about ECPIP and view all of our press releases, published research and data archive, please visit our website: eagletonpoll.rutgers.edu. You can also visit our [Facebook](#) and [Bluesky](#).

ABOUT THE EAGLETON INSTITUTE OF POLITICS

The Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling is a unit of the Eagleton Institute of Politics at Rutgers University–New Brunswick. The Eagleton Institute studies how American politics and government work and change, analyzes how the democracy might improve and promotes political participation and civic engagement. The Institute explores state and national politics through research, education and public service, linking the study of politics with its day-to-day practice. To learn more about Eagleton programs and expertise, visit eagleton.rutgers.edu.

ABOUT RUTGERS UNIVERSITY-NEW BRUNSWICK

Rutgers University-New Brunswick is where Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, began more than 250 years ago. Ranked among the world's top 60 universities, Rutgers's flagship university is a leading public research institution and a member of the prestigious Association of American Universities. It is home to internationally acclaimed faculty and has 12 degree-granting schools and a Division I Athletics program. It is the Big Ten Conference's most diverse university. Through its community of teachers, scholars, artists, scientists and healers, Rutgers is equipped as never before to transform lives.

ABOUT THE RUTGERS-EAGLETON/SSRS GARDEN STATE PANEL

The [Rutgers-Eagleton/SSRS Garden State Panel](#) is a probability-based panel of New Jersey adults age 18 or older. The panel is managed and maintained by [SSRS](#), a full-service research firm based in Glen Mills, PA. Panelists are recruited randomly based on statewide representative ABS (Address Based Sample) design. The ABS sample is drawn from the Delivery Sequence File (DSF) maintained by the U.S. Postal Service, which provide population coverage in the 98%-99% range. The Rutgers-Eagleton/SSRS Garden State Panel is a multi-mode panel. Internet households participate via web while all non-internet households (including those who have internet but are unwilling to take surveys online) participate via phone. Panelists also have the option of taking surveys in their preferred language (English or Spanish).

QUESTIONS AND TABLES START ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

**Election Confidence and Voter Requirements
Rutgers-Eagleton Poll**

Questions and Tables

The questions covered in this release are listed below. Column percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Respondents are New Jersey adults 18+ unless otherwise noted; all percentages are of weighted results. Interpret groups with samples sizes under 100 with extreme caution. Crosstabs may be suppressed or condensed in order to maintain statistical rigor

V1. Thinking ahead to this November's election in New Jersey, how confident are you, if at all, that each of the following will happen?

Votes cast in person, either during early voting or on Election Day, will be counted accurately

Very confident	40%
Somewhat confident	34%
Not too confident	15%
Not at all confident	7%
Don't know	3%
Unweighted N=	1004

	Party ID			Gender		Race or Ethnicity				Age			
	Dem	Ind	Rep	Man	Woman	Wht	Blk	Hisp	Other	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Very	58%	33%	21%	42%	38%	40%	50%	35%	36%	31%	40%	37%	50%
Somewhat	27%	39%	39%	32%	36%	36%	26%	32%	39%	36%	34%	37%	31%
Not very	7%	19%	24%	14%	16%	16%	8%	15%	18%	20%	15%	15%	12%
Not at all	3%	6%	14%	7%	6%	6%	7%	12%	4%	8%	7%	8%	4%
Don't know	4%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	9%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%
Unwt N=	437	376	190	417	580	577	124	180	121	228	272	263	241

**Election Confidence and Voter Requirements
Rutgers-Eagleton Poll**

	Income				Region					Education			
	<\$50K	\$50K- <\$100K	\$100K- <\$150K	\$150K+	Urban	Suburb	Exurban	Phil/ South	Shore	HS or less	Some college	College grad	Grad work
Very	37%	38%	42%	45%	38%	36%	54%	43%	36%	33%	37%	43%	48%
Somewhat	31%	34%	40%	33%	28%	35%	32%	35%	41%	35%	32%	35%	35%
Not very	16%	16%	14%	15%	19%	18%	10%	12%	16%	18%	21%	13%	10%
Not at all	9%	9%	2%	6%	10%	7%	4%	5%	7%	6%	8%	7%	6%
Don't know	8%	3%	2%	1%	5%	5%	<1%	5%	0%	7%	2%	3%	1%
Unwt N=	246	311	182	261	125	319	163	240	156	241	206	255	302

**Election Confidence and Voter Requirements
Rutgers-Eagleton Poll**

V1. Thinking ahead to this November's election in New Jersey, how confident are you, if at all, that each of the following will happen?

Ballots cast by mail will be counted accurately

Very confident	29%
Somewhat confident	30%
Not too confident	24%
Not at all confident	14%
Don't know	3%
Unweighted N=	1004

	Party ID			Gender		Race or Ethnicity				Age			
	Dem	Ind	Rep	Man	Woman	Wht	Blk	Hisp	Other	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Very	47%	24%	7%	31%	28%	28%	44%	26%	24%	20%	30%	29%	37%
Somewhat	31%	32%	27%	27%	33%	29%	29%	32%	35%	34%	34%	25%	28%
Not very	15%	27%	35%	25%	24%	26%	12%	21%	29%	28%	21%	29%	20%
Not at all	4%	13%	30%	14%	13%	15%	7%	18%	8%	14%	13%	14%	13%
Don't know	3%	4%	1%	3%	2%	1%	7%	4%	5%	4%	2%	4%	2%
Unwt N=	437	376	190	417	580	577	124	180	121	228	272	263	241

	Income				Region					Education			
	<\$50K	\$50K- <\$100K	\$100K- <\$150K	\$150K+	Urban	Suburb	Exurban	Phil/ South	Shore	HS or less	Some college	College grad	Grad work
Very	26%	27%	32%	33%	29%	26%	37%	36%	23%	24%	26%	30%	36%
Somewhat	32%	29%	31%	29%	27%	32%	28%	27%	36%	32%	27%	31%	30%
Not very	23%	25%	23%	26%	26%	23%	23%	26%	24%	28%	29%	21%	19%
Not at all	13%	17%	12%	12%	12%	15%	12%	10%	16%	11%	17%	15%	13%
Don't know	6%	2%	2%	1%	6%	4%	0%	2%	<1%	5%	2%	3%	1%
Unwt N=	246	311	182	261	125	319	163	240	156	241	206	255	302

**Election Confidence and Voter Requirements
Rutgers-Eagleton Poll**

V1. Thinking ahead to this November's election in New Jersey, how confident are you, if at all, that each of the following will happen?

Only people who are eligible to vote in New Jersey will be able to cast a ballot

Very confident	36%
Somewhat confident	30%
Not too confident	20%
Not at all confident	11%
Don't know	4%
Unweighted N=	1002

	Party ID			Gender		Race or Ethnicity				Age			
	Dem	Ind	Rep	Man	Woman	Wht	Blk	Hisp	Other	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Very	59%	28%	12%	36%	36%	33%	58%	33%	34%	36%	36%	33%	38%
Somewhat	28%	32%	30%	29%	31%	28%	20%	40%	34%	30%	36%	22%	31%
Not very	9%	25%	30%	18%	21%	24%	8%	11%	21%	16%	14%	26%	22%
Not at all	2%	10%	28%	14%	7%	13%	4%	11%	7%	11%	9%	15%	9%
Don't know	3%	6%	1%	3%	4%	2%	10%	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	0%
Unwt N=	436	375	190	417	578	575	124	180	121	228	272	262	240

	Income				Region					Education			
	<\$50K	\$50K- <\$100K	\$100K- <\$150K	\$150K+	Urban	Suburb	Exurban	Phil/ South	Shore	HS or less	Some college	College grad	Grad work
Very	38%	33%	39%	37%	38%	35%	42%	36%	32%	30%	33%	43%	38%
Somewhat	33%	30%	30%	26%	23%	31%	30%	28%	35%	33%	25%	28%	32%
Not very	12%	25%	20%	20%	19%	22%	15%	22%	18%	23%	26%	14%	17%
Not at all	10%	10%	8%	15%	9%	10%	11%	10%	14%	9%	12%	11%	12%
Don't know	7%	2%	3%	2%	10%	2%	2%	4%	1%	5%	4%	4%	1%
Unwt N=	246	311	180	261	125	319	163	238	156	241	205	254	302

**Election Confidence and Voter Requirements
Rutgers-Eagleton Poll**

V2. To what extent would you support or oppose requiring each of the following?

Showing a valid New Jersey driver's license, state identification card, or United States passport in order to vote in person

Strongly support	63%
Somewhat support	19%
Somewhat oppose	8%
Strongly oppose	9%
Don't know	2%
Unweighted N=	1004

	Party ID			Gender		Race or Ethnicity				Age			
	Dem	Ind	Rep	Man	Woman	Wht	Blk	Hisp	Other	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Strongly supp	42%	64%	95%	66%	60%	66%	43%	67%	61%	56%	65%	70%	59%
Smwht supp	24%	23%	3%	19%	19%	16%	29%	15%	26%	24%	20%	13%	19%
Smwht opp	14%	6%	2%	7%	9%	8%	10%	7%	5%	11%	6%	5%	10%
Strongly opp	19%	3%	1%	7%	10%	8%	16%	7%	6%	8%	6%	9%	12%
Don't know	1%	4%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	5%	1%	2%	3%	3%	0%
Unwt N=	437	376	190	417	580	577	124	180	121	228	272	263	241

	Income				Region					Education			
	<\$50K	\$50K- <\$100K	\$100K- <\$150K	\$150K+	Urban	Suburb	Exurban	Phil/ South	Shore	HS or less	Some college	College grad	Grad work
Strongly supp	62%	66%	66%	57%	54%	60%	64%	67%	70%	69%	67%	62%	52%
Smwht supp	19%	19%	15%	21%	24%	24%	18%	10%	14%	15%	21%	18%	23%
Smwht opp	8%	6%	8%	10%	3%	8%	9%	10%	8%	9%	4%	7%	12%
Strongly opp	9%	7%	10%	10%	16%	5%	8%	11%	7%	6%	7%	10%	12%
Don't know	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	<1%	2%	1%	3%	1%
Unwt N=	246	311	182	261	125	319	163	240	156	241	206	255	302

**Election Confidence and Voter Requirements
Rutgers-Eagleton Poll**

	Confidence Only Eligible People Will Vote in NJ	
	“Very” or “somewhat” confident	“Not very” or “not at all” confident
Strongly supp	54%	85%
Smwht supp	22%	10%
Smwht opp	11%	2%
Strongly opp	12%	2%
Don’t know	1%	1%
Unwt N=	712	262

**Election Confidence and Voter Requirements
Rutgers-Eagleton Poll**

V2. To what extent would you support or oppose requiring each of the following?

Providing a document proving United States citizenship, such as a birth certificate or passport, in order to register to vote

Strongly support	51%
Somewhat support	20%
Somewhat oppose	11%
Strongly oppose	14%
Don't know	4%
Unweighted N=	1004

	Party ID			Gender		Race or Ethnicity				Age			
	Dem	Ind	Rep	Man	Woman	Wht	Blk	Hisp	Other	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Strongly supp	27%	54%	85%	56%	47%	56%	31%	55%	43%	41%	52%	58%	53%
Smwht supp	25%	21%	11%	21%	20%	19%	21%	16%	29%	27%	17%	20%	18%
Smwht opp	15%	12%	3%	10%	12%	9%	18%	11%	13%	15%	14%	4%	11%
Strongly opp	27%	9%	1%	10%	17%	13%	21%	12%	13%	13%	12%	13%	17%
Don't know	6%	4%	<1%	3%	4%	3%	8%	7%	3%	5%	5%	4%	2%
Unwt N=	437	376	190	417	580	577	124	180	121	228	272	263	241

	Income				Region					Education			
	<\$50K	\$50K- <\$100K	\$100K- <\$150K	\$150K+	Urban	Suburb	Exurban	Phil/ South	Shore	HS or less	Some college	College grad	Grad work
Strongly supp	46%	53%	55%	49%	41%	49%	52%	58%	56%	58%	54%	49%	42%
Smwht supp	21%	19%	20%	22%	24%	20%	23%	17%	19%	19%	23%	22%	19%
Smwht opp	13%	11%	10%	9%	9%	15%	7%	6%	11%	8%	11%	13%	12%
Strongly opp	13%	12%	13%	17%	24%	9%	15%	16%	12%	10%	9%	12%	24%
Don't know	7%	4%	2%	2%	2%	6%	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	4%	2%
Unwt N=	246	311	182	261	125	319	163	240	156	241	206	255	302

**Election Confidence and Voter Requirements
Rutgers-Eagleton Poll**

	Confidence Only Eligible People Will Vote in NJ	
	“Very” or “somewhat” confident	“Not very” or “not at all” confident
Strongly supp	39%	79%
Smwht supp	24%	12%
Smwht opp	14%	4%
Strongly opp	19%	5%
Don’t know	4%	1%
Unwt N=	712	262

Election Confidence and Voter Requirements Rutgers-Eagleton Poll

Methodology

This Rutgers-Eagleton Poll was conducted using the [Rutgers-Eagleton/Garden State Panel](#) Omnibus platform. The Garden State Panel Omnibus is a quarterly, New Jersey statewide, probability-based survey. Data collection was conducted from September 24 to 27, 2026, with a scientifically selected random sample of 1,004 New Jersey adults, 18 or older. The survey was conducted via web and administered in English and Spanish.

The Garden State Panel Omnibus is conducted on the Rutgers-Eagleton/SSRS Garden State Panel. The Rutgers-Eagleton/SSRS Garden State Panel is a joint research venture between the Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling (ECPIP) at Rutgers University-New Brunswick and SSRS. It is a probability-based panel of New Jersey adults ages 18 or older. Members are recruited randomly based on statewide representative ABS (Address Based Sample) design. ABS sample is drawn from the Delivery Sequence File (DSF) maintained by the U.S. Postal Service. Population coverage of the DSF is in the 98%-99% range. During the recruitment process, full demographic information on panelists is collected.

The Rutgers/SSRS Garden State Panel is a multi-mode panel. For this poll, only Internet households were invited to participate via web; non-internet households were not included. Sample was drawn using a probability proportional to size (PPS) methodology to ensure adequate representation of each demographic group while minimizing the variability of the final weights. The sample was additionally stratified by preferred survey language to meet the sample size targets for each group.

Data were weighted to represent the residential adult population of New Jersey. The data were weighted by first applying a base weight then balancing the demographic profile of the sample to target population parameters.

With the base weight applied, the data were weighted to balance the demographic profile of the sample to the target population parameters.

Data were weighted to distributions of age, race/ethnicity by nativity, gender/sex by age, gender/sex by education, age by education, NJ region, home tenure, number of household adults, civic engagement, frequency of Internet use, and 2024 presidential recalled vote.

The following table shows the data sources used for calibration totals.

**Election Confidence and Voter Requirements
Rutgers-Eagleton Poll**

Table 1. Calibration Variable Sources

Calibration Variables	Sources
• Gender • Age • Education • Race • Ethnicity • Hispanic nativity • Number of adults in household • Home tenure	2025 Current Population Survey ¹
• NJ Region	ACS 2024 1-Year Estimates ²
• Internet frequency	SSRS Opinion Panel
• Civic engagement	September 2023 CPS Volunteering and Civic Life Supplement ³
• 2024 Presidential recalled vote⁴	National Election Pool

Final calibrated weights are trimmed at the 5th and 95th percentiles to prevent individual interviews from having too much influence on survey-derived estimates.

Post-data collection statistical adjustments require analysis procedures that reflect departures from simple random sampling. We calculate the effects of these design features so that an appropriate adjustment can be incorporated into tests of statistical significance when using these data. The so-called “design effect” or *deff* represents the loss in statistical efficiency that results from a disproportionate sample design and systematic non-response. The total sample design effect for this survey is 1.75.

All surveys are subject to sampling error, which is the expected probable difference between interviewing everyone in a population versus a scientific sampling drawn from that population. Sampling error should be adjusted to recognize the effect of weighting the data to better match the population. In this poll, the simple sampling error for 1,004 New Jersey adults is +/-3.1 percentage points at a 95% confidence interval. The design effect is 1.75, making the adjusted margin of error +/- 4.1 percentage points. Thus, if 50% of New Jersey adults in this sample favor a particular position, we would be 95% sure that the true figure is between 45.9 and 54.1% (50 +/- 4.1) if all New Jersey adults had been interviewed, rather than just a sample.

¹ Steven Ruggles, Sarah Flood, Matthew Sobek, Daniel Backman, Grace Cooper, Julia A. Rivera Drew, Stephanie Richards, Renae Rodgers, Jonathan Schroeder, and Kari C.W. Williams. IPUMS USA: Version 16.0 [dataset]. Minneapolis, MN: IPUMS, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.18128/D010.V16.0>

² U.S. Census Bureau. “Age and Sex.” American Community Survey, ACS 1-Year Estimates Subject Tables, Table S0101, 2024

³ Sarah Flood, Miriam King, Renae Rodgers, Steven Ruggles, J. Robert Warren, Daniel Backman, Annie Chen, Grace Cooper, Stephanie Richards, Megan Schouweiler, and Michael Westberry (2024). Integrated Public Use Microdata Series, Current Population Survey: Version 12.0 [dataset]. Minneapolis, MN: IPUMS, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.18128/D030.V12.0>

⁴ Recalled vote in the 2024 presidential election is defined as the chosen candidate of panelists who are currently registered to vote, specifically among the following listed candidates: Kamala Harris, Donald Trump, Jill Stein, Chase Oliver, and Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. Panelists who are not currently registered to vote or voted for a non-listed candidate are counted along with those who did not vote in the election.

Election Confidence and Voter Requirements Rutgers-Eagleton Poll

Sampling error is only one possible source of error in a survey estimate. Sampling error does not consider other sources of variation inherent in public opinion studies, such as selection bias, non-response bias, question wording, context effects, or reporting accuracy, which may contribute additional error.

This Rutgers-Eagleton Poll was fielded by SSRS through the Rutgers-Eagleton/SSRS Garden State Panel. The questionnaire was developed and all data analyses were completed in house by the Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling (ECPIP). Ashley Koning and Jessica Roman led analysis and preparation of this release. The Rutgers-Eagleton Poll is paid for and sponsored by the Eagleton Institute of Politics at Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, a non-partisan academic center for the study of politics and the political process. Full questionnaires are available on request and can also be accessed through our archives at eagletonpoll.rutgers.edu. For more information, please contact poll@eagleton.rutgers.edu.

**Election Confidence and Voter Requirements
Rutgers-Eagleton Poll**

**Weighted Demographics
1,004 New Jersey Adults 18+
Overall Margin of Error = +/- 4.1 percentage points**

Please note: Totals may equal slightly more or less than 100% due to rounding.

		deff	MOE
Democrat	38%	1.83	+/- 6.3%
Independent	39%	1.76	+/- 6.7%
Republican	23%	1.54	+/- 8.8%
 Man	46%	1.68	+/- 6.2%
Woman	54%	1.79	+/- 5.5%
 White	58%	1.56	+/- 5.1%
Black	13%	1.89	+/- 12.1%
Hispanic	15%	2.42	+/- 11.4%
Other	14%	1.73	+/- 11.7%
 18-34	24%	1.93	+/- 9.0%
35-49	25%	1.87	+/- 8.1%
50-64	25%	1.70	+/- 7.9%
65+	27%	1.50	+/- 7.7%
 <50K	23%	2.05	+/- 8.9%
50K-<100K	30%	1.86	+/- 7.6%
100K-<150K	20%	1.60	+/- 9.2%
150K+	26%	1.49	+/- 7.4%
 Urban	16%	1.54	+/- 10.9%
Suburb	35%	1.64	+/- 7.0%
Exurban	14%	1.67	+/- 9.9%
Phil/South	17%	2.17	+/- 9.3%
Shore	17%	1.61	+/- 10.0%
 HS or less	30%	1.79	+/- 8.4%
Some college	20%	1.76	+/- 9.0%
4-yr college grad	24%	1.73	+/- 8.1%
Grad work	26%	1.55	+/- 7.0%